

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/629,613 | 07/30/2003 | | Yoshihiro Abiko | ZU-414/CIP | 5531 | |
| 35777 | 7590 | 03/13/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| SHERMAN | | | SHAW, AMANDA MARIE | | | |
| 415 NORTH ALFRED STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1634 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office A | odia m Communa | 10/629,613 | 10/629,613 | | ABIKO ET AL. | | | | |
| Οπιсе Α | ction Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Amanda M. S | | 1634 | | | | | |
| The MAILING Period for Reply | DATE of this communication a | appears on the co | over sheet with the c | orrespondence ac | ddress | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LO - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fro - If NO period for reply is sp. - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the | ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF NGER, FROM THE MAILING e available under the provisions of 37 CFR m the mailing date of this communication. lecified above, the maximum statutory perious set or extended period for reply will, by stat Office later than three months after the mainment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, od will apply and will ex tute, cause the applicat | COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONEL | l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)☐ Responsive to | communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is | | his action is non | -final. | | | | | | |
| <u>'</u> | lication is in condition for allow | | | secution as to the | e merits is | | | | |
| .— | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2, and 18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| · | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6) | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-17</u> | are subject to restriction and/o | or election requir | ement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification | on is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C | C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some | ent is made of a claim for foreignme * c) None of: I copies of the priority docume I copies of the priority docume of the certified copies of the priority docume ion from the International Bure | ents have been r ents have been r riority document eau (PCT Rule 1 | eceived. eceived in Applications have been receive 7.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | l Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References C | | 4) | Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| | s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | no) 5) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | O-152) | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | ••, | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/629,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The reply filed on 2/6/2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the response does not include an election of a specific primer set and mutation with respect to group 2 {{applicant was asked to elect a specific primer set and specific mutation with regards to group 2}}. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 2. Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's election of Group II, claims 3-17, in the response filed February 6, 2006. However, the response fails to include an election of a specific primer and specific mutation, as required in the Office action of January 4, 2006. The response states that the requirement to elect a specific primer set and mutation is not proper because the requirement is being applied to members of a Markush group recited in a single claim. This argument has been fully considered but is not persuasive. In the instant application, restriction of the subject matter is proper because the claims have been presented in improper Markush format, as distinct nucleotides and mutations are improperly joined by the claims. Group II reads on patentably distinct inventions drawn to multiple nucleic acid sequences and multiple mutations. The claims encompass nucleic acids consisting of distinct nucleotide

Art Unit: 1634

sequences selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1-8. Each nucleic acid consists of a different nucleotide sequence, has a different melting temperature, a different specificity of hybridization, and encodes for a protein having a different biological activity. For example, a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 is chemically, structurally and functionally distinct from a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 8. A search for a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 would not be co-extensive with a search for a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 8. Further, a finding that nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 1, for example, is novel and unobvious over the prior art would not necessarily extend to a finding that a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 8 is also novel and unobvious over the prior art. Similarly, a finding that a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 is anticipated or obvious over the prior art would not necessarily extend to a finding that a nucleic acid comprising SEQ ID NO: 8 is also anticipated or obvious over the prior art. The same holds true for the mutations. The claims encompass several distinct mutations. Each mutation occurs at a different nucleotide position, has a different nucleotide substituted, and encodes for a different amino acid. For example, the mutation at nucleotide position -1035 is chemically, structurally and functionally distinct from a mutation at nucleotide position -1027. A search for the mutation at nucleotide position -1035 would not be co-extensive with a search for the mutation at nucleotide position -1027. Further, a finding that the mutation at nucleotide position -1035, for example, is novel and unobvious over the prior art would not necessarily extend to a finding that the mutation at nucleotide position -1027 is also novel and unobvious over the prior art. Similarly, a finding that the mutation at nucleotide position - Art Unit: 1634

1035 is anticipated or obvious over the prior art would not necessarily extend to a finding that the mutation at nucleotide position –1027 is also anticipated or obvious over the prior art.

Accordingly, the nucleotide sequences and mutations are thus deemed to constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Applicant is advised that this is a restriction requirement and should **not** be construed as an election of species.

In response to this restriction requirement, applicant should elect one set of primers and one mutation to be examined.

3. Also please note that Claims 3, 12, and 15 are linking claims. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

As for now only the dependent claims that read on the elected primer set and mutation will be examined.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,613 Page 5

Art Unit: 1634

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete

must include an election of a primer set and a mutation to be examined even

though the requirement is traversed.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amanda M. Shaw whose telephone number is (571)

272-8668. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 TO 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached at 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amanda M. Shaw Examiner

Art Unit 1634

March 7, 2006

CARLA J. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER